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#### Abstract

Estimation of staturefrom body parts is crucial in identification of disfigurement human remains in forensic investigation. The objectives of the present study were to understand the correlations of foot measurements with stature and to derive regression equations to estimate thestaturefrom different foot measurements in adult Bengali females. The present cross-sectional study in theBirbhum district of West Bengal was conducted on one hundred adults Bengali females. A nthropometric measurement includes stature, five foot length measurements from each toe(i.e. $\mathrm{T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}, \mathrm{~T}_{3}, \mathrm{~T}_{4}$, and $\mathrm{T}_{5}$ ), foot breadth at the ball and foot breadth at theheel. The mean agewas 22.35 (SD, 1.55) years. The mean stature was 153.47 (SD, 4.77) cm . The result of the paired test reveal ed that there weresignificant bilateral asymmetry in $\mathrm{T}_{3}, \mathrm{~T}_{4}, \mathrm{~T}_{5}$ length and FBB. Results of thePearson's correlation coefficient of staturewith different foot measurements revealed that all foot measurements weresignificantly and positively correlated with stature. However, the highest correlation was observed between stature and left foot $T_{4}$ length. Equations were derived by using simple and multipleregression anal ysisfor theestimation stature. Theoverall lower standard error of estimatefor foot length measurements indicated that foot lengths providecomparatively higher reliability and accuracy in estimating stature. However, thestandard error of estimate of multiple regression model indicated that themultipleregression model tend to estimate staturemore accurately than linear regression models.
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## Introduction

Stature is considered as one of the most important variable in forensic investigation for personal identification. Because along with age, sex and ancestry, estimation of stature is also assist to narrow-down the group of possible victim for identification in the forensic investigation (Krishan et al., 2011; Parekh et al., 2014). Estimation of stature becomevital in mass disasters likebomb blasts, train accidents, plane crashes, earthquakes, etc (M ansur et al., 2012). Since, in those situations a forensic investigator has to depend mostly on body partsfor personal identification. Thedisfigurement of the dead
body can also bedoneby scavenging animals as well as by criminals to destroy thetraces of identity and to facilitatethedisposal of thedead body (Rani et al., 2011; Rajesh et al., 2015). Whatever may be the situations, estimation of stature from extremities or parts of the extremity become vital in identifying the dead body for personal identification.

Literaturereview revealed that in comparisonto long bones, ossification and maturation in the foot occurs earlier and thus, stature could be more precisely predicted from foot measurements, compared to that of long bones (M ansur et al., 2012; Babu et al., 2013). There are a number of studies that attempted to derive regression equations for the
estimation of stature using different foot measurements(A gnihotri et al, 2007; Sen and Ghosh, 2008; Krishan et al., 2012; Khairulmazidah et al., 2013). H owever, these equations are not universal and only applicableto the population they studied, due to the ethnic variations in foot measurements and statureas well as in their relationships (Tharmar et al., 2011; Babu et al., 2013). This envisages theneed to conduct morestudies among people of different ethnic groups, so that thestatureestimation becomes moreaccurateand reliable. In view of theabove, the objectives of the present study were to understand the correlations of foot measurements with stature and to derive regression equations to estimate the stature from different foot measurements in adult Bengali females.

## M aterials and methods

Thepresent cross-sectional study in the Birbhum district of West Bengal was conducted on one hundred adult Bengali females. The individuals were identified as Bengali by their language and surnames. The study participants were homogeneous in terms of ethnic composition, languageand religious affiliation. All thesubjects included in the present study were free from any deformity of the foot and vertebral column. The age range of the subjects was between 18 to 26 years.

A nthropometric measurements includes stature (ST), five foot length measurements [i.e. length of foot from each toenamel $y$, from first toe $\left(T_{1}\right)$, second toe $\left(T_{2}\right)$, third toe $\left(T_{3}\right)$, fourth toe $\left(T_{4}\right)$, and fifth toe $\left(\mathrm{T}_{5}\right)$ ], foot breadth at the ball (FBB) and foot breadth at the heed (FBH). All foot measurements weretaken on both left and right foot. Both stature and foot measurements were taken following standard techniques (Lohman et al, 1988; Krishan et al., 2011). In brief, stature was the straight distance between floor of standing and vertex. During stature measurements, the subject was requested to stand without shoe on a flat surface and the weight was distributed evenly on both feet with the head in Frankfurt Horizontal plane (Eye-Ear plane). The arms hang freely by the sides of the trunk, with the palm facing thigh. For measurements on foot, the subject was also requested to stand without shoe on a flat surface with equal pressure on both feet. $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ Length is the distance between pternion and the most distal part of the first toe. $T_{2}$ Length is the distance between pternion and the most distal part of the second
toe. $T_{3}$ Length is the distance between pternion and the most distal part of the third toe. $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ Length is thedistance between pternion and the most distal part of the fourth toe. $\mathrm{T}_{5}$ Length is the distance between pternion and the most distal part of the fifth toe. FBB is the distance between the joint of the anterior epiphyses of the first metatarsal and the joint of the anterior epiphyses of the fifth metatarsal. FBH is the distance between the lateral sides of the heel to the medial side of the heel. Stature and foot measurements were measured to the nearest 0.1 cm using a moveable anthropometer and sliding caliper, respectively.

Descriptivestatistics were performed by mean, standard deviation (SD) and range. Bilateral asymmetry in foot measurements were assessed by paired t-test. Pearson's correlation coefficient was undertaken to understand the relationship of stature with foot length and breadth measurements. Linear and multiple regression equations were derived to estimatestature by foot measurements, using stature as the dependent and foot measurements as an independent variable. All statistical analysis was performed by using SPSS, version 9 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA ). A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered as significant.

## Results

The mean age of the studied population was 22.35 (SD, 1.55) years. Themean staturewas 153.47 (SD, 4.77) cm. Mean, SD and range of different foot measurements on both left and right side are presented in table 1. Table 2 shows the bilateral asymmetry in foot measurements. Theresult of the paired $t$ test revealed that there were significant ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) differences or bilateral asymmetry in $\mathrm{T}_{3^{\prime}}$ $\mathrm{T}_{4}, \mathrm{~T}_{5}$ length and FBB in between left and right foot. However, the results were also revealed no significant ( $p>0.05$ ) bilateral asymmetry in $T_{1}, T_{2}$ length and FBH between left and right foot, and thus, the means of right and left foot $\mathrm{T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}$ length and FBH wereused for further analysis. Results of the Pearson's correlation coefficient of stature with different foot measurements are presented in table 3. It revealed that all foot measurements were significantly ( $p<0.05$ ) and positively correlated with stature. Linear regression models derived for reconstruction of stature from each foot measurements are presented in table 4. Table 5 shows the multiple regression models for the reconstruction of stature from all foot measurements.

Table 1: Mean, standard deviation and range of foot measurements on left and right side

| Variables | Mean (cm) | SD <br> Left foot | Range (cm) | Mean (cm) | SD <br> Right foot | Range (cm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | 22.68 | 0.97 | $20.00-25.10$ | 22.63 | 1.01 | $20.00-25.10$ |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{2}$ | 22.15 | 0.98 | $19.30-25.00$ | 22.10 | 0.97 | $19.40-24.70$ |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{3}$ | 21.33 | 0.97 | $19.00-24.40$ | 21.22 | 0.95 | $19.20-23.90$ |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{4}$ | 20.19 | 0.89 | $18.10-22.50$ | 20.10 | 0.88 | $18.20-22.70$ |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{5}$ | 18.81 | 0.93 | $16.60-21.50$ | 18.75 | 0.89 | $16.70-21.50$ |
| FBB | 08.86 | 0.49 | $07.60-09.80$ | 08.77 | 0.47 | $07.60-09.70$ |
| FBH | 05.43 | 0.43 | $04.40-06.50$ | 05.40 | 0.40 | $04.40-06.50$ |

SD-standard deviation; $T_{1}-T_{1}$ length; $T_{2}-T_{2}$ length; $T_{3}-T_{3}$ length; $T_{4}-T_{4}$ length; $T_{5}-T_{5}$ length; FBB-foot breadth at ball; FBH-foot breadth at heel

Table 2: Bilateral asymmetry in foot measurements

| Variables | Mean differences <br> $(\mathbf{c m})$ | SD | $\boldsymbol{t}$ | $\boldsymbol{p}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{LFT}_{1}-\mathrm{RFT}_{1}$ | 0.048 | 0.260 | 1.849 | 0.067 |
| $\mathrm{LFT}_{2}-\mathrm{RFT}_{2}$ | 0.055 | 0.281 | 1.959 | 0.053 |
| $\mathrm{LFT}_{3}-\mathrm{RFT}_{3}$ | 0.111 | 0.414 | 2.682 | 0.009 |
| $\mathrm{LFT}_{4}-\mathrm{RFT}_{4}$ | 0.087 | 0.286 | 3.047 | 0.003 |
| $\mathrm{LFT}_{5}-\mathrm{RFT}_{5}$ | 0.055 | 0.265 | 2.076 | 0.040 |
| $\mathrm{LFBB}^{2}-\mathrm{RFBB}^{\text {LFBH-RFBH }}$ | 0.089 | 0.219 | 4.060 | 0.000 |

SD-standard deviation; $\mathrm{LFT}_{1}$-left foot $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ length; $\mathrm{LFT}_{2}$-left foot $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ length; $\mathrm{LFT}_{3}$-left foot $T_{3}$ length; $\mathrm{LFT}_{4}$ - left foot $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ length; LFT $_{5}$ - left foot $T_{5}$ length; LFBB-left foot breadth at ball; LFBH-left foot breadth at heel; RFT ${ }_{1}$-right foot $T_{1}$ Length; $\mathrm{RFT}_{2}$-right foot $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ length; $\mathrm{RFT}_{3}$-right foot $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ length; $\mathrm{RFT}_{4}$ - right foot $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ length; $\mathrm{RFT}_{5}-$ right foot $\mathrm{T}_{5}$ length; RFBB-right foot breadth at ball; RFBH-right foot breadth at heel.

Table 3: Pearson correlations of stature with foot measurements

| Variables | $\mathbf{r}$ | $\boldsymbol{p}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | 0.681 | 0.001 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{2}$ | 0.664 | 0.001 |
| $\mathrm{LFT}_{3}$ | 0.689 | 0.001 |
| $\mathrm{RFT}_{3}$ | 0.622 | 0.001 |
| $\mathrm{LFT}_{4}$ | 0.720 | 0.001 |
| $\mathrm{RFT}_{4}$ | 0.645 | 0.001 |
| $\mathrm{LFT}_{5}$ | 0.711 | 0.001 |
| $\mathrm{RFT}_{5}$ | 0.680 | 0.001 |
| $\mathrm{LFBB}^{\mathrm{RFBB}}$ | 0.239 | 0.017 |
| FBH | 0.245 | 0.014 |

$\mathrm{T}_{1}-\mathrm{T}_{1}$ length; $\mathrm{T}_{2}-\mathrm{T}_{2}$ length; $\mathrm{LFT}_{3}$-left foot $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ length; $\mathrm{LFT}_{4}$ - left foot $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ length; $\mathrm{LFT}_{5}-$ left foot $\mathrm{T}_{5}$ length; LFBB-left foot breadth at ball; FBH -foot breadth at heel; $\mathrm{RFT}_{3}$-right foot $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ length; $\mathrm{RFT}_{4}$ - right foot $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ length; $\mathrm{RFT}_{5}$ - right foot $\mathrm{T}_{5}$ length; RFBB-right foot breadth at ball

Table 4: Linear regression models for reconstruction of stature from each foot measurements

| Variables | Regression models | SEE $(\mathbf{c m})$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | $\mathbf{p}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{1}$ | $78.377+3.311\left(\mathrm{~T}_{1}\right)$ | 3.509 | 0.464 | 0.01 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{2}$ | $80.422+3.297\left(\mathrm{~T}_{2}\right)$ | 3.583 | 0.441 | 0.01 |
| $\mathrm{LFT}_{3}$ | $81.219+3.386\left(\mathrm{LT}_{3}\right)$ | 3.470 | 0.475 | 0.01 |
| $\mathrm{RFT}_{3}$ | $87.485+3.109\left(\mathrm{RT}_{3}\right)$ | 3.750 | 0.387 | 0.01 |
| $\mathrm{LFT}_{4}$ | $76.160+3.830\left(\mathrm{LT}_{4}\right)$ | 3.327 | 0.518 | 0.01 |
| $\mathrm{RFT}_{4}$ | $83.380+3.487\left(\mathrm{RT}_{4}\right)$ | 3.661 | 0.416 | 0.01 |
| $\mathrm{LFT}_{5}$ | $84.929+3.645\left(\mathrm{LT}_{5}\right)$ | 3.370 | 0.505 | 0.01 |
| $\mathrm{RFT}_{5}$ | $85.162+3.643\left(\mathrm{RT}_{5}\right)$ | 3.515 | 0.462 | 0.01 |
| $\mathrm{LFBB}^{\mathrm{RFBB}}$ | $132.721+2.341(\mathrm{LFBB})$ | 4.652 | 0.057 | 0.02 |
| FBH | $131.767+2.474(\mathrm{RFBB})$ | 4.646 | 0.060 | 0.01 |

$\mathrm{T}_{1}-\mathrm{T}_{1}$ length; $\mathrm{T}_{2}-\mathrm{T}_{2}$ length; $\mathrm{LFT}_{3}$-left foot $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ length; $\mathrm{LFT}_{4}$ - left foot $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ length; $\mathrm{LFT}_{5}$ - left foot $\mathrm{T}_{5}$ length; LFBB-left foot breadth at ball; FBH -foot breadth at heel; $\mathrm{RFT}_{3}$-right foot $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ length; $\mathrm{RFT}_{4}$ - right foot $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ length; $\mathrm{RFT}_{5}$ right foot $\mathrm{T}_{5}$ length; RFBB-right foot breadth at ball; SEE-standard error of estimate

Table 5: Multiple regression model for reconstruction of stature from foot measurements

| Variables | Regression model | SEE (cm) | R | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | $\boldsymbol{P}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}, \mathrm{LT}_{3}, \mathrm{RT}_{3}, \mathrm{LT}_{4}, \mathrm{RT}_{4}, \mathrm{LT}_{5}, \\ \mathrm{RT}_{5}, \mathrm{LFBB}, \mathrm{RFBB}, \mathrm{FBH} \end{gathered}$ | 81.205+1.367(T1)-.720(T2) | 3.260 | 0.764 | 0.584 | 0.01 |
|  | $+0.878(\mathrm{LT} 3) 0.594(\mathrm{RT} 3)+3.715(\mathrm{LT} 4) 1.876$ (RT4) |  |  |  |  |
|  | +1.978(LT5)-0.637(RT5) |  |  |  |  |
|  | -1.941 (LFBB) +0.746 (RFBB)-0.150(FBH) |  |  |  |  |

## Discussion

Estimation of stature from body parts is crucial in identification of disfigurement human remains in forensic investigation. This can be done by mathematical methods likeregression equations that utilizethemeasurements of availablesamples, which may bebody parts or parts of skeleton to estimatethe living stature. However, in the present study an attempt has been madeto understand thecorrelation of foot measurements with stature and to derive regression equations to estimate the stature from different foot measurements in adult Bengali females. The result revealed that the mean of all left foot measurements ( $\mathrm{T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}, \mathrm{~T}_{3}, \mathrm{~T}_{4}, \mathrm{~T}_{5}$, FBB and FBH ) were comparatively higher than theright foot. However, statistically significant bilateral asymmetries were observed only in $\mathrm{T}_{3}, \mathrm{~T}_{4}, \mathrm{~T}_{5}$ and FBB. A previousstudy (Krishan et al., 2011) in sub-adult females of North India also demonstrated significant bilateral asymmetry in FBB, but in contrary to thepresent study they also observed significant bilateral asymmetry in FBH. When we compared this result with some other studies theresults wereinconclusive, because, some studies demonstrated significant bilateral asymmetry, otherswerenot (Jasuja etal., 1999; Zeybek et al., 2008; Sen and Ghosh, 2008). However,
comparatively higher mean left foot measurements were al so observed in adult Rajbanshi females (Sen and Ghosh, 2008) of N orth Bengal, adult females of South India (Rajesh et al., 2015) and adult females of M alaysia (Khairulmazidah et al., 2013).The results of the correlation analysis revealed that all foot measurements were significantly ( $p<0.05$ ) and positively correlated with stature, thus indicating that the stature could be estimated from footmeasurements. Similar significant positive association was also observed in other studies (Kanchan et al., 2008; Krishan et al., 2011; M ansur et al., 2012; K hairulmazidah et al., 2013). Furthermore, the correlations were much stronger for foot length measurements than the breadth measurements and the strongest correlation was observed between stature and left foot $T_{4}$ length ( $r=0.720 ; p<0.001$ ). In accordancewith thepresentstudy, a previous study by Zeybek et al., (2008) also observed highest correlation of foot length with stature. Contrary to that Kanchan et al., (2008) demonstrated maximum correlation of stature with foot breath.

It was observed from thesimplelinear regression models that the predictive valueor the coefficient of determinant was best for left foot $T_{4}$ length, followed by left foot $T_{5}$ length. M oreover, thestandard error of estimate was also less for left foot $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ length. Thus,
indicating that the error in estimating stature will belower by left foot $T_{4}$ length, compared to other foot measurements. In a similar study in sub-adult female Krishan et al., (2011) observed different result. In that (Krishan et al., 2011) study T1 length was the most accurate predictor of stature by linear regression analysis. This differencemight be due to the variation in age and ethnic group. However, the overall lower standard error of estimate for foot length measurements indicated that foot lengths provide comparatively higher reliability and accuracy in estimating stature. Several recent studies (Sen and Ghosh, 2008; Rani et al., 2011; Krishan et al., 2011; Rajesh et al., 2015) also observed that the stature can be estimated more accurately from foot length measurements than the foot breadth measurements. The standard error of estimate of multiple regression anal ysis indicated that the use of multiple regression model will further lower the standard error in estimating the stature when compared with linear regression models. Thus, multiple regression model could be a batter option for the prediction of stature with lower standard error. K anchan et al., (2008) also demonstrated lower standard error of estimatefor multiple regression equation in comparison with linear regression equations. This is also in agreement with other studies (K rishan et al., 2011; Singh et al., 2013), that the multiple regression models tend to estimate stature more accurately than the simplelinear regression models for length and breadth measurements. However, an addition of age as a variable in multiple regression model, along with other foot measurements did not significantly affect the predictive value $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}=+0.002\right)$ in stature estimation, a finding that agrees with the studies of Kanchan et al., (2008) and Sen and Ghosh et al., (2008).

## Conclusion

In conclusion, significant positive correlation of foot measurements with stature revealed that the staturecould beestimated from foot measurements. However, the stronger correlation and lower standard error of estimate for foot length measurements indicated that foot lengths provide comparatively higher reliability and accuracy in estimating stature. The present study also demonstrated that though, in adult Bengali females staturecan be predicted by both simpleand multiple linear regression models, preferenceshould begiven to multiple regression equation for the estimation of staturemore accurately with lower standard error.
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